## 21 January 2013

## Called to order at 1803

Present – Mary Myers, Jeff Slack, Jason Downing, Excused – Tim Merry, Ray Poulin, David Ray, RSU 12 Ad Hoc Committee, Alan Hawkins, Bill Stockmeyer, Hilary Holm

## Introductions

Poulin – We will review the RSU proposed withdrawal agreement

- 1- purpose 3)should include Wiscasset and RSU
- Nault Wiscasset does not exist until this is passed
- Ray it will exist at completion of agreement
- Poulin 4) more general and is covered in the agreement, located in other areas
- Stockmeyer understand the point but may be a reason it is in there
- 2) Date of withdrawal instead of July 1, 2013
  - Holm unable to get it done in that time
  - Downing Frustration on our end by RSU delays
  - Stockmeyer unable to complete by July 1, 2013
  - Holm budget process started in December
- 3) C. first paragraph, for data, how many students are alternative ed, special needs, IEP?
- Poulin previous section B reference to technical center program, should it be specific to the tech center?
- Stockmeyer multiple centers, understands the majority of Wiscasset students go to Bath

- Nault number of alternative ed students may not be reflected of those that will be attending Wiscasset
  - Slack Is there contracts with other schools?
  - Nault The RSU has its own program
  - Caron Mixture of alternative ed and special ed
  - Hawkins will get numbers of where students go
- Poulin need numbers of who would be attending Wiscasset to get a more accurate number
  - C. Second paragraph debt service factor
    - Nault doesn't exist
    - Poulin it is in Brewer and other agreements, it may come up elsewhere
  - F. Numbers of students will be useful in future discussions

Page 6 – High School of Record in different areas

- Holm Can you explain high school of record?
- Poulin children have to have a school
- Stockmeyer RSU may consider another school as their high school of record
- Hawkins unable to discuss but looking into it
- Downing Are we bound to keep a high school for 10 years or can there be language if Wiscasset wants to close it?
- Stockmeyer Can discuss later as we get further into negotiations

## 5. Transferring of titles

- Stockmeyer What buses the RSU doesn't need be transferred and agreed to
  - Nault covered in other sections

- 7. (3) and (4) Wiscasset has paid a significant share in other RSU projects elsewhere, has Wiscasset's portion been considered in cost elsewhere?
  - Nault Wiscasset has been part of RSU 12 and voted to do these projects
  - Myers we interpreted as the RSU collecting for previous payments
- Stockmeyer debt was paid by other towns for Wiscasset's previous debts
  - Ray this is a slippery slope and opens up other cost
- Stockmeyer other towns agreed to join and get Wiscasset's debt paid then Wiscasset leaves
  - Downing reference Nault's comment that RSU voted to do this
  - Caron Wiscasset joined to leave once the debt was paid
  - B. Specifics to know where this is applied list contracts
  - (2) What is pro rata share?
  - (3) Need to see exhibit to look over information
    - Stockmeyer reference 13B
  - C. Majority one year contracts
- 8. What is proposed legislature?
- Stockmeyer has proposed legislation as currently too much ambiguity. Things happen such as a roof collapse and bonds may be needed to cover cost, protection for timeframe from agreement to withdrawal
  - Ray RSU would have to approve before voters of Wiscasset would vote
- 9. Contract Dates will fix to 2015
  - Downing RSU delays should not cause Wiscasset cost

- Stockmeyer not sure if Wiscasset will withdraw. Market determines need of a long term contract and needed whether withdrew in 2013 or 2014
- 10. Discussion of bargaining dates of contracts, issues with bargaining of contracts
- 12. Wording of shared employees needed
- Holm if withdrawal happens preference not to share employees, have Wiscasset's withdrawal committee talked about which employees they would want as part of Wiscasset?
- Meyers an example of the transportation director and what would that position become?
- Nault That position is at will and that person would choose what they wanted to do

Further discussion on positions

- 13. Ray not including thirds party warrantees
  - A. Chelsea mistyped
  - B. Exhibits need information
    - Stockmeyer some things may go away after further review is done
- 15. Stockmeyer add provision for amendment if unforeseen reason comes up
  - B. Poulin why the change to arbitration?
    - Stockmeyer nothing specific, open to suggestions

Meyers – Any further questions?

Caron – Clarifying that wants of exhibits and numbers of special and alternative ed students

Meyers – when will be next meeting?

Caron – Tentively February  $7^{\text{th}}$  at 1800, depending on Balinda getting the information requested

Meeting adjourned at 1935